

**Appendix 1 Table of consultation responses to proposed Article 4 directions for Canons Park Conservation Area**

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
<b>Representations that support preservation of the conservation area and request the proposed Article 4 direction relating to 'painting the exterior of the house' be amended or request controls cover a wider area (total: 51)</b>			
1) 31/08/2022	6 Orchard Close Edgware Middx HA8 7 RE	Support the preservation of the conservation area but the proposed Article 4 direction relating to the painting the exterior of the house should be amended to allow maintenance redecoration works that will not change the appearance of the property.	Please accept this email as a representation against the adoption of the proposed Article 4 Directions for the Canons Park Conservation Area. Whilst generally we support the preservation of the character of the Canons Park Conservation Area, we feel that requiring residents to submit a planning application before being allowed to perform simple maintenance redecoration works that will not change the appearance of the property - is a step too far
2) 31/08/2022	39 Canons Drive, HA8 7RB	As above	As above
3) 31/08/2022	28 Canons Drive	As above	As above.
4) 31/08/2022	34 Canons Drive	As above	As above.
5) 31/08/2022	52 Canons Drive	As above	As above.
6) 31/08/2022	60 canons drive	As above	As above.
7) 31/08/2022	66 Lake view	As above	As above.
8) 31/08/2022	70 Canons Drive	As above	As above.
9) 31/08/2022	80 Canons Drive Edgware HA87RQ	As above	As above.
10) 31/08/2022	The Cottage Handel Close Edgware HA8 7QZ	As above	As above.
11) 31/08/2022	16 Canons Drive	As above	As above
12) 31/08/2022	16 Lodge Close Canons Drive Edgware	As above	As above.

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
	HA8 7RL		
13) 31/08/2022	7 Canons Drive, HA87QX	As above	As above.
14) 31/08/2022	7 Handel Close	As above	As above.
15) 31/08/2022	11 Chestnut Avenue	As above	As above.
16) 31/08/2022	9 Canons Close	As above	As above.
17) 31/08/2022	7 Lake View	As above	As above.
18) 31/08/2022	8 Canons Close,	As above	As above.
19) 31/08/2022	8 Powell Close	As above	As above.
20) 31/08/2022	8 Rose Garden Close	As above	As above.
21) 31/08/2022	9, Canons Close	As above	As above.
22) 31/08/2022	9 Canons Drive	As above	As above.
23) 31/08/2022	10 Canons Close	As above	As above.
24) 31/08/2022	10, Canons Drive	As above	As above.
25) 31/08/2022	10 Handel Close	As above	As above.
26) 31/08/2022	12 Orchard Close	As above	As above.
27) 31/08/2022	13 Canons Drive , Edgware , HA8 7RB	As above	As above.
28) 31/08/2022	28 Chestnut Ave	As above	As above.
29) 31/08/2022	13 Dukes Avenue	As above	As above.
30) 31/08/2022	13 Chestnut Avenue	As above	As above.
31) 31/08/2022	15 Handel Close	As above	As above.
32) 31/08/2022	19 Dukes Avenue, Edgware HA8 7RZ	As above	As above.
33) 31/08/2022	23 Dukes Avenue, HA8 7RZ	As above	As above.
34) 31/08/2022	1 Powell Close	As above	As above.
35) 31/08/2022	31 Canons Drive	As above	As above.
36) 31/08/2022	24 Lake View Ha87ru	As above.	As above.
37) 31/08/2022	38 Canons Drive, Edgware, HA8 7QT	As above.	As above.
38) 26/08/2022	16 Dukes Avenue, Edgware, HA8	As above but also	I would contend that planning permission should

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
	7RX	planning permission should not be required if a soil or vent pipe is replaced with one of the same colour or material.	not be necessary if the painting of surfaces on the exterior of a building are in the same colours and paint finish as existing. Similarly planning permission should not be necessary if a soil and vent pipe is replaced with one of the same material and colour.
39) 31/08/2022	3 Lake View	As above plus concern that no consultation letter was received and that the proposal Article 4 direction for painting the exterior would cause delays and expense for routine maintenance.	<p>I am very concerned that, as apparently one of the very few houses in Lake View to be included in this proposed new Article 4 Direction, we have received no notification whatsoever at our address, no.3.</p> <p>We consider that simple periodic maintenance, such as repainting the relevant elements of our street elevations without any proposed changes, should not require planning consent which would simply involve additional cost and, judging by the time taken with typical applications, cause unpredictable and unnecessary delays in enabling maintenance to be carried out.</p> <p>Whilst we have recently redecorated and have no plans to make any changes we believe the degree of control proposed to be excessive.</p>
40) 31/08/2022	11 Powell Close	<p>As above but also the controls should be consistently applied and cover a wider area:</p> <p>The proposed controls should also apply to Lake View (in the conservation area) and</p>	<p>I refer to Ms Lucy Haile's letter of 19th August concerning the proposed additional planning controls to be introduced on certain properties in the Canons Park Conservation Area.</p> <p>Please accept this email as my formal representation on the proposals.</p> <p>I would comment as follows:</p>

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
		Cavendish Road and Dorset Drive (outside the conservation area).	<p>1. All properties in Lake View should be included. My understanding is that Lake View is part of the Canons Park Conservation Area as designated in 1990 and the same rules should therefore apply to all properties in the Conservation Area.</p> <p>2. I believe that the controls should also apply to the properties in Cavendish Drive and Dorset Drive even though those two roads were excluded (in my view in error) from the originally designated Conservation Area.</p> <p>3. With the exception of the proposed control relating to the painting to the exterior of the building (see further comment under 4 below), I have no problem with the other works as specified requiring planning permission, as long as the approach taken by the Council to the application of those controls is consistent and appropriately sensitive. There are numerous examples of works having been permitted on the Estate that demonstrably should never have been allowed, and works where planning permission was consistently denied even though the house owners involved did everything possible to ensure compliance. It is the Council's inconsistent approach that has been the issue rather than the application of the controls themselves.</p> <p>4. I don't believe that Planning Permission should be required to allow residents to undertake the routine redecoration of their properties unless that redecoration would radically alter the appearance of their property (which would not typically be the</p>

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
			case). That seems to me to be a step too far. I hope my comments are clear. Please contact me if you wish to discuss further.
41) 31/08/2022	7 Dukes Avenue	As above plus late notification.	As above plus: I would like also to complain that we have only received the letter from Harrow Council only today (30 August) and the deadline for comments is tomorrow! This is not acceptable.
42) 31/08/2022	64 Canons Drive	As above plus if the control on painting the exterior was confirmed this would be a money-making exercise by the Council and add bureaucracy.	<p>I, Paresh Shah, resident and council tax payer of 66, Canons Drive, Edgware HA8 7RD, would like to make a representation against the adoption of the proposed Article 4 Directions for the Canons Park Conservation Area.</p> <p>In principle, I agree with the preservation of the leafy green character of the Canons Park Conservation Area. However, I believe that forcing the residents to apply for a planning application for performing simple maintenance redecoration works, which wont change the appearance of the property, is counter productive, bureaucratic and money making exercise for council and surveyors.</p> <p>As it is the residents have to go through sufficient hoops to get anything changed at the property, given we are in a conservation area. The new proposal for Article 4 Directions is not necessary and I'd like to convey my strong rejection to it.</p>
43) 31/08/2022	14 Lake View, Edgware, HA8 7RU	As above but also no notification received from the Council.	We have recently been made aware by the committee of the CPEL of this proposed Direction. Unfortunately, we have received no direct communication from Harrow Council about the Direction.

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
			<p>Please accept this email as a representation against the adoption of the proposed Article 4 Direction for the Canons Park Conservation Area.</p> <p>Whilst generally we support the preservation of the character of the Conservation Area, we feel that requiring residents to submit a planning application before being allowed to perform simple maintenance redecoration works that will not change the appearance of a property - is a step too far.</p>
44) 31/08/2022	4 Lake View	As above but also no notification received from the Council.	<p>We understand that these proposals exclude certain residents in Lake View, Cavendish Drive, Dorset Avenue and Lodge Close, but otherwise we assume that all other residents will be affected.</p> <p>Some of the residents have just yesterday afternoon been supplied by Harrow Council with the list of the residents affected but I have not been contacted by Harrow Council and not received such a letter.</p> <p>The effect of the Article 4 Direction is to remove the automatic right of residents to perform various alterations to their property (which otherwise they would be permitted to do) without first applying for planning permission to do so.</p> <p>The intention is to preserve the character of the estate to which we imagine most residents will be sympathetic, but the Committee have been seeking</p>

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
			<p>the clarification on point NO. 5 which would prohibit the right of painting of the exterior of any building, which is unreasonable.</p> <p>Whilst the Committee would support the need for planning application to be submitted for a radical change in the appearance of any of the properties on the estate, we consider that minor redecoration works where the appearance of the property after repainting will be as it was before should not require a planning application. We need clarification on this point and needs to be confirmed in writing. and we have now run out of time, we want to empower residents that agree with the Committee's view to be able to make representations before the deadline for the representation expires on Wednesday 31st August 2022.</p> <p>Look forward to an early reply.</p>
45) 31/08/2022	1 Lake View	As above plus includes the Canons Park Estate Limited covering email.	<p>Dear Sir / Madam I support the representation made through CPEL. Thank you and regards. Madan Ahluwalia 1 Lake View:</p> <p>To Residents and neighbours,</p> <p>As some of you will be aware, Harrow Council are proposing to introduce additional planning controls within the Canons Park Conservation Area - known as Article 4 Directions.</p>

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
			<p>We understand that these proposals exclude residents in Lake View, Cavendish Drive, Dorset Drive and Lodge Close, but otherwise we assume that all other residents will be affected.</p> <p>We have just this afternoon been supplied by Harrow Council with the list of the residents affected but obviously have not had time to check whether in fact everyone who will be affected has in fact been contacted by LB Harrow.</p> <p>The effect of the Article 4 Direction is to remove the automatic right of residents to perform various alterations to their property (which otherwise they would be permitted to do) without first applying for planning permission to do so.</p> <p>The intention is to preserve the character of the estate to which we imagine most residents will be sympathetic, but the Committee have been seeking clarification on point number 5 which would prohibit the right of painting of the exterior of any building.</p> <p>Whilst the Committee would support the need for a planning application to be submitted for a radical change in the appearance of any of the properties on the estate, we consider that minor redecoration works where the appearance of the property after the repainting will be as it was before (but in better repair obviously) should not require a planning application. We have sought clarification on this point and been told verbally that the Article 4</p>

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
			<p>Direction is not intended to cover these minor works and we have asked for this in writing but so far this has not been received and as we have now run out of time, we want to empower residents that agree with the Committee's view to be able to make representations before the deadline for representations expires on Wednesday 31st August.</p> <p>Accordingly, please find below a template of an email to Harrow Council for any resident seeking to speedily make such representations.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Please accept this email as a representation against the adoption of the proposed Article 4 Directions for the the Canons Park Conservation Area.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Whilst generally we support the preservation of the character of the Canons Park Conservation Area, we feel that requiring residents to submit a planning application before being allowed to perform simple maintenance redecoration works that will not change the appearance of the property - is a step too far.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">This representation is from</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Name Postal address (email and 'phone number are optional)</p>

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
			<p>The email should be sent to <a href="mailto:conservation@harrow.gov.uk">conservation@harrow.gov.uk</a> and ideally be copied into the Chair of the planning committee <a href="mailto:marilyn.ashton@harrow.gov.uk">marilyn.ashton@harrow.gov.uk</a> and the Conservation Officer <a href="mailto:lucy.haile@harrow.gov.uk">lucy.haile@harrow.gov.uk</a></p>
46) 31/08/2022	51 Canons Drive	As above plus Lake View should not be excluded from the controls.	<p>Please accept this email as a representation against the adoption of the proposed Article 4 for the Canons Park Conservation Area. Whilst generally we support the preservation of the Canons Park Conservation Area, we feel that requiring residents to submit a planning application before being allowed to perform simple maintenance redecoration works that will not change the appearance or the property is a step too far.</p> <p>In addition, we object to Lake View not being included in this new directive, as it would seem that this is simply because some houses in that road have already changed their appearance. It is still part of the CP Conservation Area and we do not understand why they are being excluded, especially when many houses in other roads in the conservation area have also had alterations in the past but are included in the new directive. It seems that we are being penalised in the extreme whilst Lake View is not being controlled at all.</p>

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
			We also feel that standard like for like repairs and maintenance should be excluded from this directive.
47) 31/08/2022	27 Dukes Avenue, Edgware. HA8 7RZ	<p>As above plus questions:</p> <p>1) Why are we not exempted from controls as per the other houses backing onto the lake?</p> <p>2) Would the controls relate to outbuildings?</p> <p>3) We plan to have a single or two storey side extension and solar panels, are these permitted?</p>	<p>Thank you for your letter dated August 19th. Proposed planing control changes (Article 4 directions)</p> <p>I live in 27 Dukes Avenue, have lived here for 16 years, and have a number of concerns that I wanted to raise. I understand you are busy but would appreciate feedback / direction to avoid any challenges at a later date.</p> <p>1. I notice the houses exempted in the letter back on to the 7 acre lake. We also back onto the lake and wondered why we would not be exempted.</p> <p>2. We have a partially finished brick building at the end of our garden, first erected in 2009 using permitted development. Ie shell, roof, windows and base foundation on right and front. We wish to complete this building as an office/ occasional guest room. What do these changes mean, and what can I do to protect ourselves from falling foul to these laws later.</p> <p>3. We plan in future to build a single story extension on the side of our house. We may ask for a two story extension for future proofing.</p> <p>4. We would likely wish to have solar panels added to our south facing side of roof, which could be visible from the front road. Is this permitted now, and will it be post June next year.</p> <p>5. Finally our neighbourhood group have circulated a general point, in italics as follows: Please accept this email as a representation against the</p>

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
			<p>adoption of the proposed Article 4 Directions for the the Canons Park Conservation Area. Whilst generally we support the preservation of the character of the Canons Park Conservation Area, we feel that requiring residents to submit a planning application before being allowed to perform simple maintenance redecoration works that will not change the appearance of the property - is a step too far.</p>
48) 31/08/2022	72 Lake View	<p>Controls and consultation do not go far enough:</p> <p>Object to the proposal as it would only cover part of the estate:</p> <p>Concerned about excluding Lake View from the consultation and the controls.</p> <p>Concerned about the consultation process.</p> <p>It is not right that the Council lets illegal changes to homes remain and permission is not approved when someone does try to follow the planning rules.</p>	<p>Please accept this email as a representation against the adoption of the proposed Article 4 Directions for the Canons Park Conservation Area.</p> <p>As a resident of the Cannons estate, I am very concerned about the proposed intentions, which exclude Lake View residents from this consultation. It appears that this move points towards removing Lake View away from the conservation status of the Cannons park area. Lakeview surrounds the seven acre lake, a nature reserve and a prominent part of the conservation area.</p> <p>Please accept this email as my representation against the adoption of the proposals for the Canons Park Conservation Area, because Lake View residents have not been consulted, they have been excluded and separated from the rest of the conservation area.</p> <p>I would like a collective and inclusive vote for the preservation of the character of the Canons Park Conservation Area that includes Lake View.</p>

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
			<p>As a resident of Lake View, I am particularly disgusted that I only came to know about this through a street Whatsapp group and not through any consultation from the Council.</p> <p>I assume this is the same council which lets illegal changes being made in the conservation area without recourse and let's homeowners just get away with it (or give retrospective planning) and their answer is not to deal with such issues but just take Lake View out of the conservation area!!!!!!</p> <p>When someone does try and follow the rules and ask for reasonable planning, they just get turned down</p>
49) 31/08/2022	42 Lake View	<p>Controls and consultation do not go far enough:</p> <p>Object to the proposal if it only covers part of the estate: Lake View should not be excluded from the controls or the consultation process.</p>	<p>I have just been made aware of an Article 4 direction which has been made in respect of part only of the Canons Park Estate. As a resident of the Estate, I feel that I should have been notified of the Direction, even though it does not extend to my property. Over the years Lake View has generally been neglected by the Council in terms of preserving the historic nature of the Estate. Any Article 4 Direction should have been seen as an opportunity to preserve what is left of the character of Lake View and to improve it, rather than to start to exclude it from the conservation scheme.</p> <p>I object to the adoption of the proposed Article 4 Direction for part only of the Estate. The "consultation" is flawed as it was not sent to</p>

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
			residents of the whole of the Conservation area.
50) 31/08/2022	49 Lake View	<p>Controls and consultation do not go far enough:</p> <p>Object to the proposal as: Lake View should not be excluded from the consultation process and should be restricted from extensive alterations.</p>	<p>As a resident of the Cannons estate, I am very concerned about the proposed intentions, which exclude Lakeview residents from this consultation. It appears that this whole move is pointing towards removing Lakeview away from the conservation status of the Cannons park area.</p> <p>Lakeview road surrounds the seven acre lake, a nature reserve and a prominent part of the conservation area. If residents are not restricted from extensive alterations, the lake may be affected and this would definitely result in disturbing and eroding the nature reserve around the seven acre lake.</p> <p>My understanding from your proposals highlights the fact that the rest of the conservation of this estate will be preserved and Lake View will be excluded without consulting the residents.</p> <p>Please accept this email as my representation against the adoption of the proposals for the Canons Park Conservation Area, especially without consulting and separating Lakeview residents from the rest of the conservation area. I would like a collective and inclusive vote for the preservation of the character of the Canons Park Conservation Area that includes Lakeview.</p>
51) 31/08/2022	12 Lake View, Edgware, HA8 7RU	Consultation does not go far enough:	Please accept this email as a representation against the adoption of the proposed Article 4 Directions for the Canons Park Conservation Area.

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
		Object to controls as Lake View have not been consulted. Request an inclusive vote including Lake View.	As a resident of the Cannons estate, I am very concerned about the proposed intentions, which exclude Lake View residents from this consultation. It appears that this move points towards removing Lake View away from the conservation status of the Cannons park area. Lake View surrounds the Seven Acre Lake, a nature reserve, and a prominent part of the conservation area. Please accept this email as my representation against the adoption of the proposals for the Canons Park Conservation Area, because Lakeview residents have not been consulted, they have been excluded and separated from the rest of the conservation area. I would like a collective and inclusive vote for the preservation of the character of the Canons Park Conservation Area that includes Lake View.
<b>Representations that object to all controls (total: 8)</b>			
52) 31/08/2022	22 Dukes Ave	Oppose any additional controls.	We wish to oppose any extension of planning controls.
53) 31/08/2022	15 Handel Close	Object to the controls given:  1) the council allow patchwork pavement repairs.  2) Canons Drive should be protected rather than the side roads given its amazing trees some of which have been	Dear Harrow Conservation and Councillors,  I am against the adoption of the proposed Article 4 Directions for the Canons Park Conservation Area. The council has shown no interest in the area when it comes to the pavement and appearance of Handel Close over the last few years. Instead contractors whack down tarmac and change the appearance of the road and area as they please. Please see the attachment of the latest council work from April outside number 12 Handel Close. It cannot be one rule for the council and one rule for

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
		<p>damaged by the latest building works.</p> <p>3) Handel Close should be excluded as it is backed onto by roads that are excluded from the proposed controls.</p>	<p>the residents. Do the council have plans to bring up the standard of paving to match that of Canons Drive? It really is a case of double standards at the moment. If I did that to my driveway I would be in breach of the article yet the council can do the same?</p> <p>Considering Handel Close houses are backed on by Dorset Drive and Whitchurch Gardens, neither of which are in the Article 4 area, it would only be right there that this road should also be excluded.</p> <p>Whilst I generally support the preservation of the character of the Canons Park Conservation Area, this should be directed and concentrated on Canons Drive with the magnificent verges and Wellingtonia tree. Handel Close has three birch trees, one cherry tree and no verges. It's clear that the council budget only extends to Canons Drive and not the side roads. Even Lake View has had money spent on it and it is not in the Article 4.</p> <p>Therefore, it is time to reassess what we want to conserve and preserve. The latest building works on Canons Drive have severely impacted one of the Wellingtonia that is about to die unless drastic watering and feeding is taking. It's this road that should be protected not the side roads. I think the council agrees with this given the condition it has allowed Handel Close to deteriorate to over the last 10 years.</p>
54) 31/08/2022	11a Handel Close, HA8 7QZ	Object on the grounds	We are residents of Handel Close, HA8 7QZ and

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
		<p>that it is: Unfair that the Council propose the controls whilst the pavement repairs by the Council are poor, creating a patchwork effect.</p>	<p>we feel strongly that it is quite an affront for the council to be simultaneously:</p> <p>A) entertaining the commencement of Article 4 Provisions to Preserve and Enhance the character or appearance of our Conservation Area</p> <p>Whilst also.....</p> <p>B) Presiding over a series of patchwork ugly pavement repairs to Handel Close that have made our street look like an ugly patchwork quilt of different styles, colours, tarmacs, materials, angles and dangers.</p> <p>Our street is now an affront not only to its own street scene but also to the conservation area itself because so many areas of pavements have been repaired / renewed in various ugly styles that do not match each other, do not match the conservation area at all and can only be described as out of character and ugly.</p> <p>All other roads in the conservation area have a defined, safe and consistent pavement style that is fitting for an area being protected for its rich architectural and historical significance. Handel Close needs to look the same, or no amount of Article 4 Provisions will achieve anything in terms of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the Conservation Areas.</p> <p>Please advise as to how we can help you to earmark this most urgent pavement and street scene work for Handel Close.</p> <p>Thanks,</p>

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
55) 31/08/2022	54 Canons Drive	<p>Object on the grounds that: The proposed controls should not cover basic works like painting the house.</p> <p>Concerned also with planning decisions that harm the heritage value of the conservation area e.g. plastic windows and out of keeping tiles.</p> <p>We would seek compensation from the council for the controls.</p>	<p>We wish to Object in the strongest possible terms to Harrow Council issuing an Article 4 Directive for the Canons Drive Area, Edgware, HA8 and in particular if it applies to our home at 54 Canons Drive, Edgware, HA8 7RD.</p> <p>The Article 4 Directive would necessitate us applying for planning permission to do some basic things e.g. general maintenance work like "Repainting the outside of our House" This would currently be covered by our Permitted Development Rights.</p> <p>Couple this with the fact that we are concerned Harrow Council's Planning Department will not preserve the character of the Canons Drive Area that made it a Conservation Area in the first place. *What Harrow Council's Article 4 Directive states it is aiming to achieve"?</p> <p>Example 1: No 56 Canons Drive, recently applied to Harrow Council for planning permission to change their White Double Glazed diamond leaded windows, to plain clear double glazed windows with gray frames. Harrow Council Planning Department not only granted permission for the plain glass windows and gray frames, but also allowed the original Obscure leaded lights windows in the side of the house to be replaced with Clear Glass, which now allows our neighbour at 56, to overlook our house and gardens, This is against normal building rules, let</p>

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
			<p>alone Conservation Area Rules! These Clear Glass, Grey Framed windows are out of character for Canons Drive,</p> <p>Example 2: No 58 Canons Drive was recently granted Planning Permission by Harrow Council Planning Department to install Large Bright Orange Concrete Roof Tile,, which is out of character for the area, as the houses mainly have small clay tiles on the roofs.</p> <p>So why should the Residents of Canons Drive have any faith in Harrow Council's Planning Department preserving the character of Canons Drive under an Article 4 Directive, given the recent Planning Permissions Granted by Harrow, see examples in 1 and 2 above?</p> <p>If Harrow Council want to implement an Article 4 Directive and remove our Permitted Development Rights, we will be seeking substantial compensation from Harrow Council, eg as follows:</p> <p>(a) Projected Current Loss in the Value of our Property under an Article 4:DirectiveL Loss of Permitted Development Rights e.g. 10% of £3m (value of property) = £300,000.</p> <p>(b) Estimated Cost of having to apply for Planning Permission, everytime we need to maintain our property e.g painting outside etc as listed in 1-5 in the Article 4 Notice issued by Harrow Council. Over a 10 year period this could be £3,000 a time, with Professional Architects Fees, Total £30,000.</p>

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
			<p>Legal Costs, if we have to appeal any decisions etc, £20,000.</p> <p>Total minimum compensation sought from Harrow Council, if Article 4 Directive introduced for Canons Drive, HA8 (if it affects us) will be in excess of £350,000 before Tax.</p> <p>We await your reply,</p>
56) 29/08/2022	<p>6 Dukes Avenue</p> <p>Edgware</p> <p>HA8 7RX</p>	<p>Object on the grounds that: The proposal does not consider the need for security and environmental improvements.</p> <p>The proposal should not be based on a document (Conservation Area Appraisal) from 2013 and the 2019 survey did not question residents.</p> <p>There should not be a fee for planning applications.</p>	<p>I am writing with regards to the proposal for feedback to the implementation of additional Article 4 directions within the Canons Park Conservation Area and for the avoidance of any doubt would like to state I disagree with the proposal.</p> <p>The council and the planning office has completely ignored the need for environmental improvements to homes from the need for improved insulation, renewable energy (such as solar panels and heat pumps) and has not updated guidance for years (around 2011 if memory serves me correctly). Where is the updated plan for conservation areas and why is this not reflective in the councils thinking? Additionally there was a sizable uptick in crime and the need for improved security through more secure doors, windows, garages has also not been considered with in some instances violent attacks occuring on people in there homes on the estate. Has this been considered as part of this thinking?</p> <p>The response from the council and planning</p>

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
			<p>department is generally extremely poor to enquiries, as an example I enquired about solar panels and following the engagement of two councillors eventually received a response from the planning department some 10 months later which was along the lines of submit a planning application and we'll try to be considerate to the fact that an individual as opposed to an architect has submitted it.</p> <p>It is also not appropriate to base planning decisions on a document from 2013, this should as a minimum have been updated and I'd note they survey in 2019 did not appear to approach homeowners ( I for one was not contacted). Why has the Canons Park CAAMs not been updated since 2013 to base decisions on more accurate strategy?</p> <p>I also do not think it is appropriate to charge planning application fees for what in some instances will not be major changes to properties and will not require the same amount of work as a planning application, if anything fees should be waived or a nominal charge (ie £10) applied.</p> <p>Unfortunately I have limited confidence in the councils ability to do manage this given past interaction. I am extremely disappointed that no consideration by the council has been given to the need for improved environmental requirements and security which are very really and pressing needs for those living in the Canons Park Conservation</p>

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
			Area.
57) 31/08/2022	4 Rose Garden Close	<p>Object: particularly to controls on altering the exterior and painting the exterior on the grounds that:</p> <p>It would be too much control that does not take account of crime.</p> <p>It would be an affront to human rights, particularly if the area became a conservation area after a resident moved in.</p>	<p>A simple question with reference the above</p> <p>Do Canons Park Estate Residents and Homeowners Human Rights Matter?</p> <p>I am writing to you to seek an answer to the above question, as it seems to me that no day passes without Harrow Council legislating yet more restriction on the life of Harrow conservation areas residents and homeowners, and their ability to live quietly and peacefully in their own homes.</p> <p>It used to be said an Englishman home is his castle; unfortunately the above is no longer the case with the continued and repeated restrictions placed by Harrow Council on how we can maintain and look after our own homes in conservation areas. I refer to the recent proposed changes to the regulations governing Canons Park Estate Conservation Area and in particular the following restrictions (among others proposed)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Alterations and / or replacement of windows and doors</li> <li>2. Painting of the exterior of any building</li> </ol> <p>The above restrictions do not make sense at all and clearly infringe on the human rights of the area homeowners ability to maintain and look after their own homes.</p> <p>How can the council expect a planning application if the windows of my home were damaged as result</p>

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
			<p>of the burglary? Do they expect the homeowner to wait 6+ weeks so as to draw up plans and submit a planning application for like for like windows replacement? and hopefully obtain a timely positive response.</p> <p>Do the above also entitle Harrow Council to enter a resident property at any time to check whether internal doors have been replaced or not?</p> <p>What about if the front door has been smashed as result of accident and / or burglary attempt, does Harrow Council expect the homeowner to live 6+ weeks with a temporary or not front door at all?</p> <p>What if a homeowner wishes to uplift their property and give it a lick of paint (like for like basis), do they have to pay someone to submit planning application with detailed pantone colour identification on their behalf and fork out planning applications fees?</p> <p>What next - the type of food we are allowed to eat / cook in our home? The type, colour, style .. etc. of plants / grass / flowers we are allowed to have in our own homes?</p> <p>What impact the above restrictions will have on Canons Park Estate? With so many restrictions, It will certainly make the area less desirable</p> <p>What about our human rights to live quietly in our</p>

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
			<p>own properties without Big Brother constantly watching us and dictating when we can breathe, sneeze, eat, drink and / or enjoy our own homes.</p> <p>There is absolutely no reason to introduce such draconian restrictions on Canons Park Estate Conservation Area home owners, not only it does not make sense but it is an insult to common sense. Not to mention an added and totally unnecessary expenditure cost imposed on the home owners.</p> <p>So basically while the Council continues to claim that they are keeping a lid on the council tax, yet they have invented and introduced as many stealth taxation as possible on the area residents to compensate for the above, not to mention excessive unnecessary delays, intrusion on the daily lives and hoops to be jumped for Canons Park Estate residents to go through should they wish to upkeep and maintain their properties.</p> <p>So I go back to my origin question, what about my human rights to live and maintain my property peacefully without hindrance. Am I entitled to one if historically I chose to live in an area which subsequently became a conservation area.</p> <p>Look forward to hearing from you</p>
58) 10/08/2022	4 Chestnut Avenue	<p>Object on the grounds that:</p> <p>1) It would too much</p>	<p>I have just seen posted on the Canons Drive Estate WhatsApp group a photo of a Notice dated 19 May 2022 which seeks to require homeowners on the estate to require permission for almost any works,</p>

Date	Address of Respondent	Summary of consultation response	Full consultation response
		<p>bureaucracy 2) concern over the consultation process that no one is aware of the proposal.</p>	<p>including decorating, to their homes.</p> <p>I had absolutely no idea of this proposal and wish to register, in the strongest terms, my objection as there's more than enough control of where we live, and we definitely do not need any more beurocracy.</p> <p>I have no idea where this was posted or why it seems that nobody is aware of this, in fact, it is only that there have been a few Notices thrown on the floor on our estate along Canons Drive that someone has picked one up today, then posted this to make us all aware.</p> <p>I do hope that Harrow Council will reconsider this as things are difficult enough as it is without adding this to the list of unnecessary control over our lives, and I hope that you'll agree to abandon this.</p>
59) 30/08/2022	60 Canons Drive	Object on the grounds that: powers have not been enforced with the latest development in Canons Drive.	<p>&gt; Dear sirs, &gt; You are fighting a loosing battle. &gt; You have ruined the appearance of the road by not stepping in and enforcing your powers with latest development in Canons drive allowing a terrible end product which is completely out of character. &gt; I OBJECT FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF ARTICLE 4 direction Eli Baron 60 canons drive.</p>
<b>Representations that support the controls (total: 1)</b>			
60) 29/08/2022	9 Powell Close	Support the proposals.	Thank you for your letter of the 19 August regarding the proposed additional planning controls. My wife and I wholeheartedly agree that these controls should be instituted as soon as possible in

<b>Date</b>	<b>Address of Respondent</b>	<b>Summary of consultation response</b>	<b>Full consultation response</b>
			order to preserve the character and appearance of this conservation area.